

1 Rick Richmond (SBN 194962)  
*rrichmond@larsonllp.com*  
2 Andrew E. Calderón (SBN 316673)  
*acalderon@larsonllp.com*  
3 Tyler J. Franklin (SBN 324281)  
*tfranklin@larsonllp.com*  
4 **LARSON LLP**  
5 555 South Flower Street, 30<sup>th</sup> Floor  
6 Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 436-4888  
Facsimile: (213) 623-2000

7 Attorneys for Defendants The Church of  
Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and  
8 Temple Corporation of The Church of  
Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints  
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION  
12

13 JOHN AD DOE,  
14 Plaintiff,  
15 vs.

Case No. 2:24-cv-11055-TJH-AS

Judge: Hon. Terry J. Hatter  
Magistrate Judge: Alka Sagar

16 THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST  
OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS, a  
17 nonprofit corporation; TEMPLE  
CORPORATION OF THE CHURCH  
18 OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-  
DAY SAINTS a nonprofit corporation;  
19 THE WHITTIER CALIFORNIA  
STAKE, also known as THE CHURCH  
20 OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-  
DAY SAINTS, an entity of unknown  
21 form; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,

**DEFENDANTS THE CHURCH OF  
JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY  
SAINTS AND TEMPLE  
CORPORATION OF THE  
CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF  
LATTER-DAY SAINTS' ANSWER**

22 Defendants.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Defendants The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (“Church”) and  
2 Temple Corporation of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (“Temple  
3 Corporation”) (collectively, “Defendants”) hereby answer the allegations in Plaintiff  
4 John AD Doe’s Complaint as follows:

5 **INTRODUCTION**

6 1. Paragraph 1 of the Complaint is an editorial comment and not a  
7 statement of any facts relevant to this case. To the extent a response is required,  
8 Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 1.

9 2. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 2.

10 **PARTIES**

11 3. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the  
12 allegations in Paragraph 3 and, on that basis, deny them.

13 4. Paragraph 4 of the Complaint is an editorial comment and not a  
14 statement of any facts relevant to this case. To the extent a response is required,  
15 Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 4.

16 5. Defendants admit that the Church is a religious entity with a location in  
17 Whittier, California. Defendants admit that the Church is a Utah corporation sole.  
18 Defendants admit that the Church operates wards, meetinghouses, congregations,  
19 temples and other houses of worship within the State of California. Defendants  
20 admit that the Church is qualified to transact intrastate business in California.  
21 Defendants admit that the Church’s principal place of business is at 50 East North  
22 Temple, Salt Lake City, State of Utah 84150. Defendants admit that the Church is  
23 registered to do business in California. Defendants admit that wards, stakes, and  
24 areas are ecclesiastical divisions of the Church. Defendants deny that the Church is  
25 independently liable for its own conduct as alleged in Plaintiff’s Complaint, is liable  
26 as a successor in interest to another entity, and/or is an alter ego of Defendants and,  
27 on that basis, denies these allegations. Except as expressly admitted herein,  
28 Defendants deny all other allegations of Paragraph 5.

1           6. Defendants admit that Temple Corporation is a nonprofit corporation  
2 duly organized, and operating pursuant to the laws of the State of Utah. Defendants  
3 admit that Temple Corporation owns temples within the State of California.  
4 Defendants admit that Temple Corporation does business in California. Defendants  
5 admit that Temple Corporation's principal place of business is 50 East North  
6 Temple, Salt Lake City, State of Utah 84150. Defendants admit that Temple  
7 Corporation is registered to do business in California. Defendants deny Temple  
8 Corporation is independently liable for its own conduct as alleged in Plaintiff's  
9 Complaint, is liable as a successor in interest to another entity, and/or is an alter ego  
10 of Defendants and, on that basis, denies these allegations. Except as expressly  
11 admitted herein, Defendants deny all other allegations of Paragraph 6.

12           7. Defendants admit the Whittier California Stake is part of the Church.  
13 Defendants deny all other allegations of Paragraph 7.

14           8. Paragraph 8 of the Complaint is an editorial comment and not a  
15 statement of any facts relevant to this case. To the extent a response is required,  
16 Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph 8.

17           9. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to enable them  
18 to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 9 and, on that basis, deny them.

19           10. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 10.

20           11. Defendants admit the Church receives income from member tithes.  
21 Defendants deny all other allegations in Paragraph 11.

22           12. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to enable them  
23 to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 12 and, on that basis, deny all the  
24 allegations.

25           13. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 13.

26           14. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 14.

27           15. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 15.

28           16. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 16.

1 17. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 17.

2 **PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT IS TIMELY PURSUANT TO**  
3 **C.C.P. SECTION 340.1**

4 18. Paragraph 18 contains legal contentions to which no response is  
5 required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny all allegations in  
6 Paragraph 18.

7 19. Paragraph 19 contains legal contentions to which no response is  
8 required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny all allegations in  
9 Paragraph 19.

10 20. Paragraph 20 contains legal contentions to which no response is  
11 required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny all allegations in  
12 Paragraph 20.

13 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

14 21. Paragraph 21 contains legal contentions to which no response is  
15 required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny all allegations in  
16 Paragraph 21.

17 22. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to enable them  
18 to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 9 and, on that basis, deny them.

19 23. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the  
20 allegations in Paragraph 23 and, on that basis, deny them. As this case has been  
21 removed to federal court, Defendants admit that venue is proper in the Central  
22 District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

23 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

24 **(Common to All Causes of Action)**

25 24. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 24.

26 25. Defendants deny the allegations that the Quorum of the Twelve  
27 Apostles manage local leadership. Defendants otherwise admit the allegations in  
28 Paragraph 25.

1           26. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 26.

2           27. Defendants deny that the Quorums of the Seventy “exist” to assist the  
3 Quorum of the Twelve Apostles and deny that all members of the Quorums of the  
4 Seventy report directly to the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles. Defendants  
5 otherwise admit the allegations in Paragraph 27.

6           28. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph 28.

7           29. Defendants admit that bishops are overseen by a stake president.  
8 Defendants otherwise deny the allegations of Paragraph 29.

9           30. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the  
10 allegations in Paragraph 30 and, on that basis, deny them.

11           31. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the  
12 allegations in Paragraph 31 and, on that basis, deny them.

13           32. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the  
14 allegations in Paragraph 32 and, on that basis, deny them.

15           33. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the  
16 allegations in Paragraph 33 and, on that basis, deny them.

17           34. Defendants deny that they had, or should have had, unequivocal notice  
18 of Plaintiff’s sexual abuse before, during, and after it occurred. Defendants lack  
19 knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the remaining allegations in  
20 Paragraph 34 and, on that basis, deny them.

21           35. Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the  
22 allegations in Paragraph 35 and, on that basis, deny them.

23           36. Defendants admit the Church maintains membership records that  
24 include information such as names, addresses, and family members. Defendants  
25 deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 37.

26           37. Defendants admit that the Church maintains records with annotations.  
27 Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 37.

28           38. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 38.

1           39. Paragraph 39 does not contain allegations to which a response is  
2 required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants admit the quoted  
3 language in the first sentence of Paragraph 39 was in a past edition of the Church's  
4 general handbook of instructions. Defendants admit *MacGregor V. Walker*, 2014  
5 UT 2 112, 322 P.3d 706, 707 (2014), contains the language quoted in the second  
6 sentence of Paragraph 39. Defendants deny the remaining allegations of Paragraph  
7 39.

8           40. Defendants admit Kirton McConkie is involved in responding to calls  
9 on the Church's Helpline. Defendants deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph  
10 40.

11           41. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 41.

12           42. Defendants admit that the Vice article cited contains the statement  
13 quoted in Paragraph 42. Defendants deny all the remaining allegations in Paragraph  
14 42.

15           43. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 43.

16           44. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 44.

17           45. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 45.

18           46. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 46.

19           47. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 47.

20           48. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 48.

21           49. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 49.

22           50. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 50.

23           51. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 51.

24           52. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 52.

25           53. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 53.

26           54. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 54.

27           55. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 55.

28           56. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 56.

1 57. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 57.

2 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **NEGLIGENCE**

4 **(Plaintiff Against LDS DEFENDANTS and DOES 2-100)**

5 58. Defendants incorporate their responses to each allegation in Paragraphs  
6 1 through 57 above as if set forth herein.

7 59. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 59.

8 60. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 60.

9 61. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 61.

10 62. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 62.

11 63. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 63.

12 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION OF A MINOR**

14 **(Plaintiff Against LDS DEFENDANTS and DOES 2-100)**

15 64. Defendants incorporate their responses to each allegation in Paragraphs  
16 1 through 63 above as if set forth herein.

17 65. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 65.

18 66. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 66.

19 67. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 67.

20 68. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 68.

21 69. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 69.

22 70. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 70.

23 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **SEXUAL ABUSE OF A MINOR**

25 **(Plaintiff Against All Defendants and DOES 1-100)**

26 71. Defendants incorporate their responses to each allegation in Paragraphs  
27 1 through 70 above as if set forth herein.

28 72. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 72.



73. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 73.

74. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 74.

75. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 75.

76. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 76.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

**NEGLIGENT HIRING, SUPERVISION & RETENTION OF AN UNFIT  
EMPLOYEE**

**(Plaintiff Against LDS DEFENDANTS and DOES 2-100)**

77. Defendants incorporate their responses to each allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 76 above as if set forth herein.

78. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 78.

79. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 79.

80. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 80.

81. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 81.

82. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 82.

83. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 83.

84. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 84.

85. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 85.

86. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 86.

**FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

**NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN, TRAIN, OR EDUCATE**

**(Plaintiff Against The LDS DEFENDANTS and DOES 2-100)**

87. Defendants incorporate their responses to each allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 86 above as if set forth herein.

88. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 88.

89. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 89.

90. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 90.

91. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 91.



1 92. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 92.

2 **SIXTH CAUSE ACTION**

3 **BREACH OF MANDATORY DUTY:**

4 **FAILURE TO REPORT SUSPECTED CHILD ABUSE**

5 **(Plaintiff Against the LDS DEFENDANTS and DOES 2-100)**

6 93. Defendants incorporate their responses to each allegation in Paragraphs  
7 1 through 92 above as if set forth herein.

8 94. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 94.

9 95. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 95.

10 96. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 96.

11 97. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 97.

12 98. Defendants deny all the allegations in Paragraph 98.

13 **SEVENTH CAUSE ACTION**

14 **(Battery)**

15 **(Against DOE 1, Amy Last Name Unknown)**

16 99. This cause of action does not apply to the Church and Temple  
17 Corporation. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate their  
18 responses to each allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 98 above as if set forth herein.

19 100. This cause of action does not apply to the Church and Temple  
20 Corporation. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge and  
21 information sufficient to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 100 and, on that  
22 basis, deny them.

23 101. This cause of action does not apply to the Church and Temple  
24 Corporation. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge and  
25 information sufficient to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 101 and, on that  
26 basis, deny them.

**EIGHTH CAUSE ACTION**

**(Assault)**

**(Against DOE 1, Amy Last Name Unknown)**

102. This cause of action does not apply to the Church and Temple Corporation. To the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporate their responses to each allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 101 above as if set forth herein.

103. This cause of action does not apply to the Church and Temple Corporation. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 103 and, on that basis, deny them.

104. This cause of action does not apply to the Church and Temple Corporation. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 104 and, on that basis, deny them.

105. This cause of action does not apply to the Church and Temple Corporation. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 105 and, on that basis, deny them.

106. This cause of action does not apply to the Church and Temple Corporation. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to respond to the allegations in Paragraph 106 and, on that basis, deny them.

**PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Defendants deny Plaintiff is entitled to any relief contained in his Prayer for Relief.

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

1. Plaintiff is precluded from recovering against Defendants because the allegations do not support a legal theory upon which relief may be granted.

1           2.     Plaintiff is precluded from recovering from Defendants because no  
2 conduct of Defendants was the legal cause of any alleged harm or damage to  
3 Plaintiff.

4           3.     Plaintiff's claims for damages against Defendants arising out of alleged  
5 tortious conduct on behalf of Defendants are barred because no special relationship  
6 exists between the Defendants and Plaintiff, barring any affirmative legal duty  
7 owing from Defendants to Plaintiff.

8           4.     The conduct of others, including, but not limited to, Plaintiff's  
9 parents/legal guardians or the alleged perpetrator, is a supervening and superseding  
10 cause of Plaintiff's damages.

11          5.     Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
12 part, because Defendants were not mandated reporters at the time of the alleged  
13 conduct or were exempt from reporting under California Penal Code sections  
14 11165.7 and 11166.

15          6.     Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
16 part, because Defendants had no notice of the alleged conduct.

17          7.     Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
18 part, because Defendants took reasonable actions to prevent abuse.

19          8.     Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
20 part, because there is no vicarious liability for sexual conduct under California law.

21          9.     Plaintiff's damages are subject to comparative fault, including that of  
22 others who were not under the control of Defendants, and Defendants' liability, if  
23 any, must be reduced in proportion to such comparative fault, including that of all  
24 third persons.

25          10.    Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
26 part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.

27          11.    Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
28 part, by the doctrine of waiver.

1           12. Plaintiff's claims are barred by sections 335.1 and 340.1 of the  
2 California Code of Civil Procedure.

3           13. Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
4 part, by the doctrine of laches.

5           14. Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
6 part, by the doctrine of estoppel.

7           15. Defendants are entitled to an offset or credit for the full amount of all  
8 monies received by Plaintiff, either directly or indirectly.

9           16. Plaintiff or third parties failed and neglected to use reasonable care to  
10 minimize and mitigate the losses and damages of which he complains and therefore  
11 cannot recover against Defendants whether as alleged or otherwise.

12           17. Plaintiff's damage claims are barred to the extent that Plaintiff's  
13 damages, if any, are the proximate result of the conduct or failures of third parties  
14 over which Defendants have and had no control.

15           18. Plaintiff's damage claims against Defendants are barred, in whole or in  
16 part, because they are speculative.

17           19. Plaintiff's claims for damages against Defendants are barred to the  
18 extent his claims rely upon confidential information or communications protected by  
19 any evidentiary privilege, such as the attorney-client privilege, the work product  
20 doctrine, or the priest-penitent privilege, and any other privilege at common law or  
21 found in statute.

22           20. Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, are barred, in whole or in  
23 part, because Plaintiff failed to join an indispensable party.

24           21. Plaintiff's claims for damages against Defendants arising out of alleged  
25 tortious conduct on behalf of Defendants may be barred by the First Amendment to  
26 the Constitution of the United States.

27  
28

22. Any alleged injury suffered by Plaintiff is the proximate result of the failure, neglect, omissions, negligence or acts of the Plaintiff and on that basis Plaintiff is barred from any claims or relief.

23. Plaintiff's claims for damages against Defendants arising out of alleged tortious conduct on behalf of Defendants may be barred by the Article 1, Section 4, California Constitution.

24. Plaintiff's allegations do not support grounds for punitive or exemplary damages and Plaintiff has not complied with California Code of Civil Procedure section 425.14.

25. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the United States Constitution because the events at issue happened so long ago, it is unjust, unreasonable, and prejudicial to Defendants to require them to defend themselves from stale claims when relevant evidence and witnesses may be unavailable.

26. Plaintiff's prayer for attorney's fees is barred because there is no contractual or statutory basis for such relief in this action.

27. Defendants have or may have additional defenses that cannot be articulated due to Plaintiff's failure to particularize his claims and due to Plaintiff's failure to provide more specific information concerning the nature of the damage claims and claims for certain costs for which Plaintiff alleges that Defendants may have some responsibility. Therefore, Defendants reserve the right to assert additional defenses upon further particularization or clarification of Plaintiff's claims, upon examination of the documents provided, upon discovery of information concerning the alleged damage claims and claims for costs, and upon the development of other pertinent information.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Defendants pray that Plaintiff recovers nothing by way of his Complaint against Defendants, and that said Complaint be dismissed with prejudice against Defendants. Additionally, Defendants request they be awarded their costs

1 incurred herein. Defendants also ask that the Court award such other and further  
2 relief as it deems just and equitable.

3  
4 Dated: January 14, 2025

LARSON LLP

5  
6  
7 By: /s/ Rick Richmond

Rick Richmond

8 Andrew E. Calderón

9 Tyler J. Franklin

10 Attorneys for Defendants The Church of Jesus  
11 Christ of Latter-day Saints and Temple  
12 Corporation of The Church of Jesus Christ of  
13 Latter-day Saints  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28